Modeling Noisy labels for Facial Expression Recognition

Mingjian Zhu, Hongxun Ding, Lanjing Yi

Computer Science and Engineering, SUSTECH, China

ABSTRACT. Facial expression recognition (FER) has a wide research prospect in human-computer interaction and emotion computing, including humancomputer interaction, emotion analysis, intelligent security, entertainment, online education, intelligent medical care, etc. FER is challenging due to the class imbalance and noisy labels caused by data collection. We need to address both of these challenges in this project.

10 INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

Facial expression recognition (FER) aims to make computers understand visual emotion. Recently, the advancement of deep FER is largely promoted by large-scale labeled datasets, e.g., AffectNet. However, existing labels often exist noisy labels and the re-labeling data requires human experts. Besides, in many realistic scenarios, the assumption that the class distribution of data are balanced holds untrue and becomes the primary cause of poor model performance. Therefore, it is urgent to develop a powerful method for training models on a large amount of data with noisy labels and imbalanced data distribution.

There are two kinds of noise in the literature: feature noise and label noise. Feature noise corresponds to the corruption in observed data features, while label noise means the change of label from its actual class. Even though both noise types may cause a significant decrease in the performance, label noise is considered to be more harmful and shown to deteriorate the performance of classification systems in a broad range of problems. This is due to several factors; the label is unique for each data while features are multiple, and the importance of each feature varies while the label always has a significant impact. This work focuses on label noise; therefore, noise and label noise is used alternately throughout the article.

In real-world applications, training samples typically exhibit a long-tailed class distribution, where a small portion of classes have massive sample points but the others are associated with only a few samples. Such class imbalance of training sample numbers, however, makes the training of deep network based 27 recognition models very challenging. The trained model can be easily biased towards head classes with 28 massive training data, leading to poor model performance on tail classes that have limited data. There-29 fore, the deep models trained by the common practice of empirical risk minimization cannot handle FER 30 applications with long-tailed class imbalance.

In this work, we propose a two-stage cycle. In the first stage, we detect the noise labels in the dataset using a Learning with Noisy Labels(LNL) method; in the second stage, we use the data with clean labels and the data with noise labels to train the model, and a Semi-Supervised Learning(SSL) method is implemented in this stage. Taking into account the long-tailed data distribution problem, we use some Long-Tailed Recognition(LTR) method as regularization. So we can create a virtuous circle.

36 RELATED WORK

:

³⁷ Learning with Noisy Labels

Existing methods on LNL primarily take a loss correction approach. Some methods estimate the noise 38 transition matrix and use it to correct the loss function. However, correctly estimating the noise transition 39 matrix is challenging. Some methods leverage the predictions from Deep Neural Network(DNN)s to correct 40 labels and modify the loss accordingly. These methods do not perform well under high noise ratio as the 41 predictions from DNNs would dominate training and cause overfitting. To overcome this, some methods 42 adopt MixUp augmentation. Another approach selects or reweights samples so that noisy samples con-43 tribute less to the loss. A challenging issue is to design a reliable criteria to select clean samples. It has been 44 shown that DNNs tend to learn simple patterns first before fitting label noise. Therefore, many methods 45 treat samples with small loss as clean ones. Among those methods, Co-teaching and Co-teaching+ train 46 two networks where each network selects small-loss samples in a mini-batch to train the other. 47

48 Semi-Supervised Learning

Recent years have observed a significant advancement of SSL research. Many of these methods share similar basic techniques, such as entropy minimization, pseudo-labeling, or consistency regularization, with deep learning. Pseudo-labeling trains a classifier with unlabeled data using pseudo-labeled targets derived from the model's own predictions. Relatedly, some methods use a model's predictive probability with temperature scaling as a soft pseudo-label. Consistency regularization learns a classifier by promoting consistency in predictions between different views of unlabeled data, either via soft or hard pseudo-labels. Effective methods of generating multiple views include input data augmentations of varying strength, standard dropout within network layers, and stochastic depth. The performance of most recent SSL methods relies on the quality of pseudolabels. However, none of aforementioned works have studied SSL in the class-imbalanced setting, in which the quality of pseudo-labels is significantly threatened by model bias.

60 Long-Tailed Learning

⁶¹ While SSL has been extensively studied, it is underexplored regarding class-imbalanced data. Recently, ⁶² some methods argued that leveraging unlabeled data by SSL and self-supervised learning can benefit class-⁶³ imbalanced learning. Some methods proposed a suppressed consistency loss to suppress the loss on minority ⁶⁴ classes. Some also discussed another interesting setting where labeled and unlabeled data do not share the ⁶⁵ same class distribution, while in this work we focus on the scenario when labeled and unlabeled data have ⁶⁶ roughly the same distribution, which is similar to FER.

67 PLAN

:

68 Current progress

- ⁶⁹ Complete the survey
- 70 Complete the paper reading

71 Before the mid report

72 Implement the methods on AffectNet

73 Before the final report

74 Accomplish a relatively good accuracy rate

75 **REFERENCES**

- Li, J., Socher, R., Hoi, S. C. (2020). Dividemix: Learning with noisy labels as semi-supervised learn ing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.07394.
- ⁷⁸ Sun, Z., Shen, F., Huang, D., Wang, Q., Shu, X., Yao, Y., Tang, J. (2022). PNP: Robust Learning From

- Noisy Labels by Probabilistic Noise Prediction. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer 79 Vision and Pattern Recognition (pp. 5311-5320). 80
- Li, H., Wang, N., Yang, X., Wang, X., Gao, X. (2022). Towards Semi-Supervised Deep Facial Expression 81
- Recognition with An Adaptive Confidence Margin. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Com-82
- puter Vision and Pattern Recognition (pp. 4166-4175). 83

:

90

- Yi, X., Tang, K., Hua, X. S., Lim, J. H., Zhang, H. (2022). Identifying Hard Noise in Long-Tailed Sample 84 Distribution. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.13378. 85
- Pham, H., Dai, Z., Xie, Q., Le, Q. V. (2021). Meta pseudo labels. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF 86 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (pp. 11557-11568). 87
- Wei, C., Sohn, K., Mellina, C., Yuille, A., Yang, F. (2021). Crest: A class-rebalancing self-training frame-88 work for imbalanced semi-supervised learning. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer 89 vision and pattern recognition (pp. 10857-10866).
- Algan, G., Ulusov, I. (2021). Image classification with deep learning in the presence of noisy labels: A 91 survey. Knowledge-Based Systems, 215, 106771. 92
- Song, H., Kim, M., Park, D., Shin, Y., Lee, J. G. (2022). Learning from noisy labels with deep neural 93 networks: A survey. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems. 94